Tetrahedron 66 (2010) 8446-8449

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

³¹P NMR kinetic study of the tandem cleavage of phosphonate esters by bromotrimethylsilane

Anne C. Conibear, Kevin A. Lobb, Perry T. Kaye*

Department of Chemistry and Centre for Chemico- and Biomedicinal Research, Rhodes University, Grahamstown 6140, South Africa

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 27 May 2010 Received in revised form 4 August 2010 Accepted 23 August 2010 Available online 27 August 2010

Keywords: Phosphorus-31 NMR Phosphonate esters Kinetics Bromotrimethylsilane

ABSTRACT

¹H and ³¹P NMR methods have been used to access rate constants and activation parameters for each of the consecutive second-order silylation reactions involved in the overall transformation $(1a \rightarrow 3a \rightarrow 4a)$, while computational optimisation of the rate constants obtained from the initial, linear phase of each reaction has permitted an excellent fit with the experimental data for the entire course of the reaction. © 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

1-Deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate-reductoisomerase (DXR) plays an essential role in the parasite-specific, Plasmodium falciparum (Pf) isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway.¹ Fosmidomycin and FR900098² have been shown to inhibit DXR, and we have been exploring the development of novel analogues as competitive DXRinhibitors and, hence, as potential antimalarial agents. Particular attention has been focused on the synthesis of the phosphonated *N*-heteroarylalkanamides **1a**–**e** and **2a**–**e** (Scheme 1)³ and their *N*phenyl analogues.⁴ Various approaches to the critical hydrolysis step $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ have been reported, including tandem trimethylsilylation [using bromotrimethylsilane (TMSBr)] and hydrolysis,^{5,6} and we have successfully used Kumar's microwave-assisted method⁵ for the 'hydrolysis' of a series of diethyl [N-(phenyl)carbamoyl]methylphosphonates⁴ to the corresponding phosphonic acids. However, attempts to hydrolyse the *N*-heteroaryl analogues **1b**, **1c** and 1d using this method were unsuccessful and, although application of a modification of Geissmann's method⁶ proved successful, the reaction time required was considerably longer than predicted.

In order to elucidate and, perhaps, optimise the transformation, we decided to explore the kinetics of the consecutive silylation reactions leading to the formation of the bis(trimethylsilyl) ester **4a** from the phosphonate ester **1a** (Scheme 2); on treatment with water, the former is readily converted to the desired phosphonic



Tetrahedror





Scheme 2. Overall transformation analysed in the kinetic study.



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +27 46 6037030; fax: +27 466225109; e-mail address: P.Kaye@ru.ac.za (P.T. Kaye).

^{0040-4020/\$ –} see front matter @ 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.08.058

acid **2a**. The use of TMSBr to form bis(trimethysilyl) esters was introduced by McKenna et al.,⁷ who monitored the progress of the reaction by ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy but did not, it seems, undertake a detailed kinetic study. In this communication, we now discuss the results of a kinetic study, which has:—(i) involved the use of ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy; (ii) provided rate constants and activation parameters for each of the consecutive reactions; and (iii) illustrated the use of two methods for the analysis of consecutive second-order kinetic data, which do not require equimolar amounts of the starting materials or pseudo first-order conditions.

2. Results and discussion

The conversion of the diethyl phosphonates 1 to the bis(trimethylsilyl)phosphonates **4** involves the replacement of two ethyl groups by two trimethylsilyl groups, and is presumed⁷ to follow a mechanism (Scheme 3) similar to that of the Arbuzov reaction. ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra were obtained for solutions of the substrate **1a** in dry CDCl₃ at 90 s intervals during the course of the reaction, and the concentrations of the three phosphorus-containing species (1a, 3a and **4a**) were determined from the relative integral data. The ³¹P T_1 relaxation time for each of these species was measured to ensure that the recycle (acquisition+delay) time used (2.9 s) permitted complete relaxation of the phosphorus nuclei and, hence, that the integral data are proportional to their relative concentrations. The changes in the concentration of TMSBr were determined from the corresponding ¹H NMR spectra, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) as an internal standard. Inverse-gated proton decoupling was also used. The ${}^{31}PT_1$ relaxation times (ms) and ³¹P chemical shift values (δ /ppm relative to the phosphoric acid standard) for the three phosphorus-containing species were:-1a: 536 and 19.19; 3a: 542 and 9.37 and 4a: 553 and -0.69. It is apparent, from the ³¹P spectra illustrated in the stack-plot (Fig. 1), that the signals for the three ³¹P nuclei are well resolved as replacement of the ethyl groups by trimethylsilyl groups is accompanied by progressive shielding of the ³¹P nuclei.



Scheme 3. Putative mechanism for the reaction (step 1) of the phosphonate diester **1a** with trimethylsilyl bromide, following McKenna's proposal.⁷



Fig. 1. Stack-plot illustrating ³¹P NMR signals for starting material 1a, intermediate 3a and product 4a at 285 K, at 30 min intervals.



Fig. 2. Graph of concentration against time for the reaction of phosphonate ester **1a** with TMSBr at 283 K, showing the three phosphorus-containing species: starting material **1a** as black diamonds, intermediate **3a** as grey squares and product **4a** as light grey circles.

Analysis of the first step, involving consumption of the starting material **1a**, indicated that it follows second-order rather than the simple first-order kinetics used in the treatment provided by Schmid and Sapunov.⁸ Consequently, the possibility of establishing pseudo first-order conditions was explored by using 32 equiv of TMSBr. Under these conditions, however, the ³¹P signals broadened significantly and changes in the chemical shift values were noted. In order to avoid unnecessary complications (involving, perhaps, the formation of polysilylated products, such as compound **6**⁹) the well-resolved, second-order data were used.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{Br}^{\bigoplus} & \mathsf{OSiMe}_3\\ & \oplus \\ \mathsf{Me}_3\mathsf{SiO} \overset{\oplus}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{P} \\ & \mathsf{OSiMe}_3 \end{array} \mathbf{6}$$

Frost and Schwemer,¹⁰ have integrated the differential rate equations for competitive consecutive second-order reactions based on very similar transformations, *viz.*, the saponification of ethyl adipate and ethyl succinate, and their treatment has been extended into a computer programme by Burkhard.¹¹ Unfortunately, these approaches only apply to the use of stoichiometrically equivalent quantities of the two reactants. Our analysis of the reaction between the phosphonate ester **1a** and TMSBr, on the other hand, is based on a consideration of two, separate, second-order reactions of the general form: $A+B \rightarrow P$. The rate of such a reaction is given by Eq. 1 in which *k* is the corresponding second-order rate constant:

$$\frac{-\mathbf{d}[A]}{\mathbf{d}t} = k[A][B] \tag{1}$$

When the starting concentrations $[A]_0$ and $[B]_0$ of the two reactants are different, the variable *x* is used such that $[A]_0-x=[A]$ and $[B]-x=[B]_0$, and Eq. 1 becomes:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\left([A]_0 - x\right)\left([B]_0 - x\right)} = k\mathrm{d}t \tag{2}$$

After partial fraction expansion and integration, Eq. 2 becomes:

$$\frac{1}{[B]_0 - [A]_0} \ln \frac{[A]_0 - x}{[B]_0 - x} = kt + C$$
where $C = \frac{1}{[B]_0 - [A]_0} \ln \frac{[A]_0}{[B]_0}$ when $x=0$ and $t=0$

$$\ln \frac{[B]_0[A]}{[A]_0[B]} = kt([B]_0 - [A]_0)$$
(4)

As the reactant concentrations [A] and [B] can be measured using the NMR integral data, Eq. 4 (developed by Keusch),¹² was used to calculate the rate constants, k_1 and k_2 for the first and second steps, respectively. For the first step, $[A]_0$ =initial concentration of the substrate 1a, while $[B]_0$ =initial concentration of TMSBr. $\ln([B]_0[A]/[A]_0[B])$ was plotted against time and the gradient for the initial, linear portion of the graph was divided by $([B]_0 - [A]_0)$ to afford the rate constant k_1 for the first step ($1a \rightarrow 3a$). The secondorder component of the second step $(3a \rightarrow 4a)$ occurs upon complete consumption of the substrate 1a, at which stage the only reaction occurring involves conversion of the monosilylated intermediate **3a** to the product **4a**. At this point, $[A]_0 = [3a]$ and $[B]_0$ =[TMSBr] and Eq. 4 was again used to determine the secondorder rate constant for the second step, k_2 . The linearity of the second-order kinetic plots confirms that both steps are secondorder-observations, which are consistent with the mechanism proposed by McKenna (Scheme 3).⁷

Reactions were conducted at various temperatures between 283 and 303 K and Arrhenius plots (R^2 =0.9547 and 0.8904, respectively) for the first $(1a \rightarrow 3a)$ and second steps $(3a \rightarrow 4a)$ permitted evaluation of the respective activation energies E_a (Table 1) and an Eyring plot¹³ (R^2 =0.9553 and 0.8792, respectively) permitted direct evaluation of the activation parameters ΔH^{\ddagger} and ΔS^{\ddagger} and, thence, ΔG^{\ddagger} at 298.15 K.

Table 1

Kinetic parameters of the reaction between **1a** and TMSBr determined using the initial, linear portions of the experimental data

Parameter	Calculated values ^a /kcal mol ⁻¹	
	Step 1	Step 2
Ea	11.63 ± 0.80	10.7±1.4
ΔH^{\ddagger}	10.29 ± 0.74	10.1±1.4
ΔS^{\ddagger}	$-38.9{\pm}2.5^{b}$	$-41.8{\pm}4.8^{b}$
ΔG^{\ddagger}	21.9±1.5	22.5±1.6

^a Uncertainties were calculated from the respective standard errors for the slope and intercept for each graph.

Cal K^{-1} mol⁻¹.

A computational method was then employed to refine the estimates of the activation parameters obtained using Eq. 4 and thus improve the correlation with the experimental data. Using the previously calculated values of k_1 and k_2 as initial estimates, the concentrations of each of the species 1a, 3a and 4a were predicted at 1 s intervals from the second-order rate equation (Eq. 1). Building on a method used in earlier work,¹⁴ k_1 and k_2 were varied independently within a range of their initial values.¹⁵ For each experiment, graphs were plotted comparing the experimental data with:—(a) the original k_1 and k_2 values, obtained using Eq. 4; and (b) the computationally optimised k_1 and k_2 values. The improved fit obtained with the latter values is illustrated in Fig. 3. The linearity of both the Arrhenius (R^2 =0.9673 and 0.9620, respectively) and Eyring plots (R^2 =0.9738 and 0.9686, respectively) is also improved (the latter illustrated in Fig. 4), thus affording activation parameters (Table 2) with smaller uncertainty values-particularly for the second step of the reaction. As expected for a bimolecular reaction, ΔS^{\dagger} is negative due to the accompanying decrease in entropy in each case.



Fig. 3. Plots of concentration against time for the reaction of phosphonate ester 1a with TMSBr at 297 K, showing the three phosphorus-containing species: starting material 1a as open triangles, intermediate 3a as open squares and product 4a as open circles. The fit of the experimental data with the values of k_1 and k_2 obtained from Eq. 4 is shown by dashed lines. The significantly improved fit of the experimental data with the computationally optimised k_1 and k_2 values is shown by solid lines. For clarity, only a limited number of points are plotted for each curve.



Fig. 4. Eyring plots of $\ln(k/T)$ against $1/T/K^{-1}$ for step 1 (shown in black squares) and step 2 (shown in grey circles) for the reaction between 1a and TMSBr using computationally optimised k_1 and k_2 values.

Table 2

Kinetic parameters of the reaction between 1a and TMSBr calculated^a using computationally optimised k_1 and k_2 values

Parameter	Calculated values ^a /kcal mol ⁻¹	
	Step 1	Step 2
Ea	13.07±0.76	12.44±0.81
ΔH^{\ddagger}	11.67 ± 0.64	$11.86{\pm}0.81$
ΔS^{\ddagger}	$-34.4{\pm}2.2^{b}$	$-35.4{\pm}2.7^{b}$
ΔG^{\ddagger}	21.9±1.3	22.4±1.6

^a Uncertainties were calculated from the respective standard errors for the slope and intercept for each graph. b Cal K⁻¹ mol⁻¹.

3. Conclusions

Second-order analysis of both steps of the overall transformation $(1a \rightarrow 3a \rightarrow 4a)$ clearly provides an accurate description of the process and supports the mechanism proposed by McKenna⁷ (Scheme 3). While the initial estimates of k_1 and k_2 correlate well with the experimental data over the initial, linear phase of each reaction $(1a \rightarrow 3a \text{ and } 3a \rightarrow 4a)$, the computationally optimised values of k_1 and k_2 provide a far better fit with the experimental data over the whole course of the reaction. Analysis of the second-order kinetic data has thus afforded access to rate constants and activation parameters for each of the consecutive reactions without recourse to either pseudo first-order conditions or the use of equimolar quantities of the starting materials. The study has also highlighted the usefulness of 31 P NMR spectroscopy for kinetic studies with the concomitant advantages of uncluttered spectra and short relaxation times facilitating accurate determination of the concentrations of the species involved.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

The study was conducted using a Bruker Biospin 600 MHz spectrometer, and temperature calibration of the probe was carried out following standard procedures.^{16,17} The preparation and characterisation of the phosphonate ester **1a** and the corresponding phosphonic acid **2a** are described elsewhere.³

4.2. Measurement of the ${}^{31}PT_1$ relaxation times

Diethyl phosphonate **1a** (0.060 g, 0.18 mmol) and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB; 0.012 g, 0.068 mmol) were dissolved in dry CDCl₃ (0.6 mL; stored over molecular sieves and basic alumina) under N₂. The solution was transferred to an NMR tube, which was then flushed with N₂ and sealed with a septum. The ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra [calibrated relative to 1M-phosphoric acid in D₂O (0.00 ppm)] of the substrate **1a** and TMB were recorded and 1 equiv of TMSBr (0.024 mL, 0.18 mmol) was then added through the septum. The reaction mixture was shaken and left to stand overnight at room temperature. The *T*₁ relaxation times of the phosphorus nuclei (in **1a**, **3a** and **4a**) were measured by recording the inversion recovery ³¹P spectra in a series of 12 experiments involving different recovery times ranging from 100 ms to 20 s. The ³¹P signals were integrated and the intensity plotted against the delay time to give the *T*₁ relaxation times.

4.3. Kinetics of the reaction of 1a with TMSBr

For each kinetic run, weighed quantities of the substrate **1a** and TMB were dissolved in dry CDCl₃ (0.6 mL; stored over molecular sieves and basic alumina) under N₂. The solution was transferred to a graduated NMR tube, flushed with N₂ and sealed with a septum. The ¹H and ³¹P spectra of the substrate solution were recorded and TMSBr (4 equiv) was then added. The total volume of the reaction mixture was recorded and the tube was immediately replaced in the probe. The NMR experiments were set to record both the ¹H and ³¹P spectra, followed by a delay of 90 s between acquisitions. The experiments were repeated at different temperatures ranging from 283 to 305 K.

4.4. Preparation of bis(trimethylsilyl) 2-[(5-acetyl-4-methyl-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-carbamoyl]ethylphosphonate 4a

As the bis(trimethylsilyl) phosphonate **4a** readily hydrolyses on contact with air, it was synthesised in an NMR tube and

characterised in the reaction mixture in the presence of the byproduct, ethyl bromide, excess TMSBr and TMB. A solution of the diethyl phosphonate **1a** (0.060 g, 0.18 mmol) and TMB (0.010 g, 0.060 mmol) in dry CDCl₃ under N₂ was transferred to an NMR tube, which was flushed with N₂ and sealed with a septum. TMSBr (0.095 mL, 0.72 mmol) was added through the septum and the reaction monitored by ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy until the conversion **1a** \rightarrow **4a** was complete. Spectroscopic data for compound **4a** were as follows: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (600 MHz; CDCl₃) -0.03 (18H, s, $6 \times CH_3Si$), 2.48 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.66 (3H, s, CH₃C=O), 3.45 (2H, d, J=22.8 Hz, CH₂P); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (150 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.7 (q, $6 \times CH_3Si$), 15.4 (q, CH₃C=O), 29.9 (q, CH₃), 38.4 (d, $J_{\rm C-P}=136.5$ Hz, CH₂P), 125.4 (s, C-4), 160.4 (s, C-5), 161.3 (s, C-2), 164.4 (s, NHC=O), 189.0 (s, CH₃C= O); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (243 MHz; CDCl₃) -0.69.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Beit Trust for a bursary (to A.C.C.), Rhodes University, the South African Medical Research Council (MRC) and the National Research Foundation (NRF: GUN 2069255) for generous financial support, and Professor M. E. Brown for helpful advice. Any opinion, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and therefore the NRF does not accept any liability in regard thereto.

Supplementary data

Supplementary spectroscopic data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.08.058.

References and notes

- Rohmer, M.; Seemann, M.; Horbach, S.; Bringer-Meyer, S.; Sahm, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2564–2566.
- Jomaa, H.; Wiesner, J.; Sanderbrand, S.; Altincicek, B.; Weidemeyer, C.; Hintz, M.; Türbachova, I.; Eberl, M.; Zeidler, J.; Lichtenthaler, H. K.; Soldati, D.; Beck, E. Science 1999, 285, 1573–1576.
- 3. Conibear, A.C. M.Sc thesis, Rhodes University, 2010.
- Mutorwa, M.; Salisu, S.; Blatch, G. L.; Kenyon, C.; Kaye, P. T. Synth. Commun. 2009, 39, 2723–2736.
- Kumar, K. G. D.; Saenz, D.; Lokesh, G. L.; Natarajan, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6281–6284.
- Giessmann, D.; Heidler, P.; Haemers, T.; Van Calenbergh, S.; Reichenberg, A.; Jomaa, H.; Weidemeyer, C.; Sanderbrand, S.; Wiesner, J.; Link, A. *Chem. Biodiversity* **2008**, *5*, 643–656.
- McKenna, C. E.; Higa, M. T.; Cheung, N. H.; McKenna, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 18, 155–158.
- Schmid, R.; Sapunov, V. N. General Reaction Types; In Non-formal Kinetics; Dyllick-Brenzinger, C., Ed.; Verlag Chemie: Weinheim, 1982; Vol. 14, pp 14–19.
- 9. Schmidbaur, H.; Seeber, R. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 1731-1738; (CA 1974:449746).
- 10. Frost, A. A.; Schwemer, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 1268-1273.
- 11. Burkhard, C. A. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1960, 52, 678.
- Keusch, P. Chemical Kinetics Rate Laws, Arrhenius Equation—Experiments. http://www.uni-regensburg.de/Fakultaeten/nat_Fak_IV/Organische_Chemie/ Didaktik/Keusch/kinetics.htm (accessed 07/20/2009).
- 13. Laidler, K. J.; King, M. C. J. Phys. Chem. 1983, 87, 2657-2664.
- Kaye, P. T.; Mphahlele, M. J.; Brown, M. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1995, 835–838.
- 15. For each pair of k_1 and k_2 values, the resultant curve of the calculated concentrations was compared with the experimental values and an R^2 value was calculated. The values of k_1 and k_2 giving the minimum R^2 value were readily identified and appeared to provide the best fit with the Experimental data. These calculations were carried out using the computer programme available as Supplementary data. (K. Lobb, 2010).
- 16. Nicholls, A. W.; Mortishire-Smith, R. J. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2001, 39, 773-776.
- 17. Van Geet, A. L. Anal. Chem. 1968, 40, 2227-2229.